

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,539	12/29/1999	BALWINDER S. SAMRA	17207-00003	2363
7	7590 01/07/2003			
JOHN S BEULICK ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600			EXAMINER	
			BOYCE, ANDRE D	
ST LOUIS, MO 631022740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

O9/474,539

SAMRA ET AL.

Examiner

Andre Boyce

Applicant(s)

SAMRA ET AL.

3623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 57 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)	1
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspondir fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ng amount of the fee. The appropriate extension r reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within a 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismission.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);
<ul><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li></ul>	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by issues for appeal; and/or	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted i canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been application in condition for allowance because:	considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOL raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No.	o(s)
10. Other:	
	TARIQ R. HARIZ
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOGY CANTER 3500

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Embedding within the targeting engine a plurality of analytic models including marketing and risk models is a new limitation that changes the scope of the claims. This limitation was not previously considered by the Examiner, and would require further search and/or consideration.